arXiv:0707.4447v1 [math.GM] 30 Jul 2007

On the existence of A-loops with some commutative inner mappings and others of order 2*†

T. G. Jaiyéọlá
Department of Mathematics,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile Ife 220005, Nigeria.
jaiyeolatemitope@yahoo.com
tjayeola@oauife.edu.ng

J. O. Adéníran‡
Department of Mathematics,
University of Abeokuta,
Abeokuta 110101, Nigeria.
ekenedilichineke@yahoo.com
adeniranoj@unaab.edu.ng

Abstract

The existence of A$_\rho$-loops, A$_\lambda$-loops and A$_\mu$-loops that are neither extra loops nor CC-loops such that any two of their inner mappings $R(x,y), L(x,y)$ and $T(x)$ commute while the other one is of order 2 is shown.

1 INTRODUCTION

Let L be a non-empty set. Define a binary operation $(\cdot)$ on L. If $x\cdot y\in L$ for all $x,y\in L$, $(L,\cdot)$ is called a groupoid. If the system of equations ; $a\cdot x=b$ and $y\cdot a=b$ have unique solutions for x and y respectively, then $(L,\cdot)$ is called a quasigroup. Furthermore, if there exists a unique element $e\in L$ called the identity element such that for all $x\in L$, $x\cdot e=e\cdot x=x$, $(L,\cdot)$ is called a loop. A detailed information on loop properties, types, concepts and applications are contained in [38], [1], [3], [8], [24] and [41].

The symmetric group of a loop $(L,\cdot)$ is denoted by $S(L,\cdot)$ and it is defined as the group of all permutations or self-bijections on L. The bijection $L_x : L\to L$ defined as $yL_x=x\cdot y$ for all $x,y\in L$ is called a left translation(multiplication) of L while the bijection $R_x : L\to L$ defined as $yR_x=y\cdot x$ for all $x,y\in L$ is called a right translation(multiplication) of L. In a loop $(L,\cdot)$, the group generated by the set of left or right translations and their inverses is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(L,\cdot)$ or $\mathcal{M}_\rho(L,\cdot)$ and called the left or right multiplication group of $(L,\cdot)$, while the group generated by the set of both left and right translations and their inverses is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(L,\cdot)$ and called the multiplication group of $(L,\cdot)$. It is well known that the groups $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(L,\cdot), \mathcal{M}_\rho(L,\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{M}(L,\cdot)$ are subgroups of $S(L,\cdot)$.

---

[*] 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20NO5 ; Secondary 08A05.

[†] **Keywords :** inner mapping, loop, isotopism, A-loop

[‡] All correspondence to be addressed to this author.

The triple (U, V, W) formed such that $U, V, W \in S(L, \cdot)$ is called an autotopism of L if and only if $xU \cdot yV = (x \cdot y)W$ for all $x, y \in L$. The group of autotopisms of L is called the autotopism group and it is denoted by $AUT(L, \cdot)$. If $U = V = W$, then U is called an automorphism. The group of automorphisms on L is called the automorphism group and it is denoted by $A(L, \cdot)$. If $U \in S(L, \cdot)$ such that $(U, UR_c, UR_c) \in AUT(L, \cdot)$ or $(UL_c, U, UL_c) \in AUT(L, \cdot)$ for some $c \in L$, then U is called a right or left pseudo-automorphism of the loop L with a right or left companion c. The group formed by such permutations is called the right or left pseudo-automorphism group and it is denoted by $PS_\rho(L, \cdot)$ or $PS_\lambda(L, \cdot)$.

All elements $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda(L, \cdot)$ or $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\rho(L, \cdot)$ or $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(L, \cdot)$ such that $e\alpha = e$ form a group called the left inner mapping group or right inner mapping group or inner mapping group of $(L, \cdot)$ and this is denoted by $\mathrm{Inn}_\lambda(L, \cdot)$ or $\mathrm{Inn}_\rho(L, \cdot)$ or $\mathrm{Inn}(L, \cdot)$.

The inner mapping $R(x, y) = R_x R_y R_{xy}^{-1}$ is called a right inner mapping and it has been shown that they generate the group $\mathrm{Inn}_\rho(L, \cdot)$. A loop L is called a right A-loop(A_ρ-loop) if $\mathrm{Inn}_\rho(L, \cdot) \leq A(L, \cdot)$.

The inner mapping $L(x, y) = L_x L_y L_{yx}^{-1}$ is called a left inner mapping and it has been shown that they generate the group $\mathrm{Inn}_\lambda(L, \cdot)$. A loop L is called a left A-loop(A_λ-loop) if $\mathrm{Inn}_\lambda(L, \cdot) \leq A(L, \cdot)$.

The inner mapping $T(x) = R_x L_x^{-1}$ is called a middle inner mapping and the group generated by these is denoted by $\mathrm{Inn}_\mu(L, \cdot)$ and called the middle inner mapping group. A loop L is called a middle A-loop(A_μ-loop) if $\mathrm{Inn}_\mu(L, \cdot) \leq A(L, \cdot)$.

It has been shown in [38] that the inner mapping group $\mathrm{Inn}(L, \cdot)$ of a loop L is generated by its left, right and middle inner mappings. So, if $\mathrm{Inn}(L, \cdot) \leq A(L, \cdot)$, L is called an A-loop, hence, L is an A-loop if and only if L is an A_ρ-loop, A_λ-loop and an A_μ-loop. The study of A-loops started by Bruck and Paige in [2]. Further studies on A-loops have been done by Osborn [37], Phillips [39] and Drapal [9]. The most interesting work on A-loops is Kinyon et. al. [31] which gives the solution to the Osborn problem.

After the introduction of conjugacy closed loops(CC-loop) by Goodaire and Robinson [22], [23], a tremendous study of their properties and structural behaviours have been studied by Kunen [32] and some recent works of Kinyon and Kunen [28], [30], Phillips et. al. [29], Drápal [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], Csörgő et. al. [5], [19], [4] and Phillips [40]. In [28], [29], [30] and [32], it is proved and stated that in a CC-loop L;

- $R(x, y), L(x, y) \in A(L)$, hence L is a both an A_ρ-loop and an A_λ-loop,
- $\mathrm{Inn}_\lambda(L) = \mathrm{Inn}_\rho(L)$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(L, \cdot) = \Big\langle \{T(x) \ : \ x \in L\} \Big\rangle$,
- $R(x, y)R(u, v) = R(u, v)R(x, y)$ and $R(x, y)L(u, v) = L(u, v)R(x, y)$, hence $\mathrm{Inn}_\rho(L)$ and $\Big\langle \{R(x, y), L(x, y) \ : \ x, y \in L\} \Big\rangle$ are abelian groups.

If L is an extra loop, then the facts listed above and the ones below are true.

- $R(x, y) = L(x, y) = R(y, x) = L(y, x)$, $|R(x, y)| = 2$, hence $\mathrm{Inn}_\lambda(L) = \mathrm{Inn}_\rho(L)$ are boolean groups,

- $T(x) \in A(L)$ if and only if $x \in N(L)$.

If L is an A-loop then according to [2], $T(x)L(y,x) = L(y,x)T(x)$ and $T(x)R(x,y) = R(x,y)T(x)$.

The multiplication group and inner mapping group of loops have been studied by Drápal [16], [17], [18], [15], Drápal et. al. [20], [21], Kepka [25], [26], Kepka and Niemenmaa [27], Niemenmaa [33], [34], [35], Niemenmaa and Kepka [36], Csörgő and Kepka [6] in different fashions. The multiplication group structure determines the structure of a loop(e.g solvability of $\mathcal{M}(L)$ implies the solvability of a finite loop L [42]) while if $\mathrm{Inn}(L)$ is of order $2p$(p an odd prime), then $\mathcal{M}(L)$ is solvable hence L is solvable as well([7]).

The present study investigates the existence of A_ρ-loops, A_λ-loops, A_μ-loops and A-loops that are neither extra loops nor CC-loops such that any two of their inner mappings $R(x,y), L(x,y)$ and $T(x)$ commute while the other one is of order 2.

Definition 1.1 *If $(L,\cdot)$ and $(G,\circ)$ are two distinct loops, then the triple $(U,V,W) : (L,\cdot) \to (G,\circ)$ such that $U,V,W : L \to G$ are bijections is called a loop isotopism if and only if*

$$xU \circ yV = (x\cdot y)W \ \forall \ x,y \in L.$$

Throughout, when $L_x \ : \ y \mapsto xy$ and $R_x \ : \ y \mapsto yx$ are respectively the left and right translations of a loop then the left and right translations of its loop isotope are denoted by $L'_x \ : \ y \mapsto xy$ and $R'_x \ : \ y \mapsto yx$ respectively.

Definition 1.2 *Let $(B,\cdot)$ be a loop. If $x \in B$ and $\phi \in S(B,\cdot)$, then the mapping*

$$\mu_x(\phi) \ : \ S(B,\cdot) \longrightarrow S(B,\cdot) \text{ defined by } \mu_x(\phi) = \phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}$$

is called the deviation of the mapping ϕ at x.

Furthermore, set

$$P(x,\phi) := L_x\phi - \phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi}.$$

2 MAIN RESULTS

2.1 Deviation

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(B,\cdot)$ be a loop with $\phi \in S(B,\cdot)$.*

$$\text{If } P(x,\phi) := 0, \text{ then } \mu_x(\phi) = L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}.$$

Proof
If $P(x,\phi) := 0$, then $L_x\phi = \phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi} \Longrightarrow \phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1} = L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1} \Longrightarrow \mu_x(\phi) = L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}$ since $\mu_x(\phi) = \phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let* $(B,\cdot)$ *be a loop with* $\phi\in S(B,\cdot)$ *such that* $\phi\ :\ e\mapsto e$*. If* $P(x,\phi):=0$*, then* $\phi\in A(B,\cdot)$*.*

Proof
$P(x,\phi):=0\Longrightarrow L_x\phi=\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi}\Longrightarrow yL_x\phi=y\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi}\Longrightarrow$

$$(xy)\phi=y\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi}. \tag{1}$$

Let $z=y\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\Longrightarrow x\phi\cdot z=y\phi$. Put $x=e$, then

$$z=y\phi. \tag{2}$$

Now from equation (1), we have $(xy)\phi=z\phi L_x\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi}=(x\cdot z\phi)\phi^{-1}L_{x\phi}=x\phi\cdot(x\cdot z\phi)\phi^{-1}$. So,

$$(xy)\phi=x\phi\cdot(x\cdot z\phi)\phi^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

Let $z'=(x\cdot z\phi)\phi^{-1}$, then $x\cdot z\phi=z'\phi$. Using equation (2), $x\cdot y\phi^2=z'\phi$. Now, let $x=e$, then $y\phi^2=z'\phi\Longrightarrow$

$$z'=y\phi. \tag{4}$$

From equation (3), $(xy)\phi=x\phi\cdot z'$. So by equation (4),

$$(xy)\phi=x\phi\cdot y\phi\Longrightarrow\phi\in A(B,\cdot).$$

Theorem 2.2 *Let* $(B,\cdot)$ *be a loop and* $\phi\in S(B,\cdot)$*. The following are true.*

1. $\phi\ :\ e\mapsto e\Leftrightarrow\mu_x(\phi)\ :\ e\mapsto e\ \forall\ x\in B$.
2. $\phi\in PS_\lambda(B,\cdot)\Leftrightarrow\ \exists\ c\in B\ \ni\ \mu_x(\phi)=L(x\phi,e)^{-1}\ \forall\ x\in B$.
3. $\phi\in A(B,\cdot)\Leftrightarrow\mu_x(\phi)=I\ \forall\ x\in B$.

Proof
Recall that $\mu_x(\phi)=\phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}$.

1. $e\mu_x(\phi)=e\Longleftrightarrow e\phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}=e\Longleftrightarrow e\phi^{-1}L_x\phi=eL_{x\phi}\Longleftrightarrow(x\cdot e\phi^{-1})\phi=x\phi\Longleftrightarrow x\cdot e\phi^{-1}=x\Longleftrightarrow e\phi^{-1}=e\Longleftrightarrow e\phi=e$.

2. $\phi\in PS_\lambda(B,\cdot)$ with a left companion $c\in B$ if and only if

$$c\cdot(x\cdot y)\phi=(c\cdot x\phi)\cdot y\phi\Longleftrightarrow L_{(c\cdot x\phi)}=\phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_c. \tag{5}$$

   $L(x,y)=L_xL_yL_{yx}^{-1}$, so $L(x\phi,c)=L_{x\phi}L_cL_{(c\cdot x\phi)}^{-1}$. Thus, computing and using equation (5),

$$\mu_x(\phi)L(x\phi,c)=\left(\phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\right)\left(L_{x\phi}L_cL_{(c\cdot x\phi)}^{-1}\right)=\phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_cL_{(c\cdot x\phi)}^{-1}=L_{(c\cdot x\phi)}L_{(c\cdot x\phi)}^{-1}=I$$

which implies $\mu_x(\phi)L(x\phi, c) = I \Longrightarrow \mu_x(\phi) = L(x\phi, c)^{-1}$.

Conversely, if $\mu_x(\phi) = L(x\phi, c)^{-1}$, then $\mu_x(\phi)L(x\phi, c) = I$. So,

$$\left(\phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_{x\phi}^{-1}\right)\left(L_{x\phi}L_cL_{(c\cdot x\phi)}^{-1}\right) = I \Longrightarrow \phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_cL_{(c\cdot x\phi)}^{-1} = I \Longrightarrow \phi^{-1}L_x\phi L_c = L_{(c\cdot x\phi)}$$

implies $\phi \in PS_\lambda(B, \cdot)$ with a left companion $c \in B$ by following equation (5).

3. Following 2., $\phi \in A(B, \cdot) \Longleftrightarrow \phi \in PS_\lambda(B, \cdot)$ such that $c = e$. $L(x\phi, e) = I$,

$$\therefore\ \phi \in A(B, \cdot) \Leftrightarrow \mu_x(\phi) = I\ \forall\ x \in B.$$

Theorem 2.3 *Let* $(B, \cdot)$ *be a loop with* $\phi \in S(B, \cdot)$ *such that* $\phi\ :\ e \mapsto e$. *If* $P(x, \phi) := 0$, *then* $|\phi| = 2$.

Proof
By Theorem 2.1, $\phi \in A(B, \cdot)$. So following Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.1, $\mu_x(\phi) = L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1} = I$. Thus, $L_{x\phi}^{-1}\phi L_x\phi^{-1} = I \Longrightarrow \phi L_x = L_{x\phi}\phi \Longrightarrow y\phi L_x = yL_{x\phi}\phi \Longrightarrow x \cdot y\phi = (x\phi \cdot y)\phi = x\phi^2 \cdot y\phi \Longrightarrow x = x\phi^2 \Longrightarrow \phi^2 = I$.

2.2 Isotopic Characterization Of A-loops

Theorem 2.4 *Let* $(G, \cdot)$ *and* $(H, \circ)$ *be any two distinct quasigroups. If* $A, B, C : G \to H$ *are permutations, then the following conditions are equivalent :*

1. *the triple* $\alpha = (A, B, C)$ *is an isotopism of* G *upon* H.
2. $R'_{xB} = A^{-1}R_xC\ \forall\ x \in G$.
3. $L'_{yA} = B^{-1}L_yC\ \forall\ y \in G$.

Proof

(1⇔2) If $\alpha = (A, B, C) : (G, \cdot) \to (H, \circ)$ is an isotopism, then $xA \circ yB = (x \cdot y)C \Leftrightarrow xAR'_{yB} = xR_yC \Leftrightarrow AR'_{yB} = R_yC \Leftrightarrow R'_{yB} = A^{-1}R_yC$.

(1⇔3) If $\alpha = (A, B, C) : (G, \cdot) \to (H, \circ)$ is an isotopism, then $xA \circ yB = (x \cdot y)C \Leftrightarrow yBL'_{xA} = yL_xC \Leftrightarrow BL'_{xA} = L_xC \Leftrightarrow L'_{xA} = B^{-1}L_xC$.

Finally, $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$ and $1 \Leftrightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 2 \Leftrightarrow 3$. Hence the statements 1, 2 and 3 are equivalent to each other.

Theorem 2.5 *Let* $G = (\Omega, \cdot)$ *and* $G' = (\Omega, \circ)$ *be any two distinct loops. If* $A, B, C \in S(\Omega)$ *such that* $P(x, \phi) := 0\ \forall\ \phi \in \{A, B, C\}$, *then the following are equivalent for all* $x \in \Omega$.

1. *(A,B,C) is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
2. *$\mu_x(A) = CL'^{-1}_{xA^2}B^{-1}AL_xA^{-1}$.*
3. *$\mu_x(A) = L^{-1}_{xA}ABL'_{xA}(AC)^{-1}$.*
4. *$\mu_x(B) = CL'^{-1}_{xBA}L_xB^{-1}$.*
5. *$\mu_x(B) = L^{-1}_{xB}B^2L'_{xA}(BC)^{-1}$.*
6. *$\mu_x(C) = CL'^{-1}_{xCA}B^{-1}CL_xC^{-1}$.*
7. *$\mu_x(C) = L^{-1}_{xC}CBL'_{xA}C^{-2}$.*
8. *$\Big(I, AB, \mu_{xA^{-1}}(A)AC\Big)$ is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
9. *$\Big(B^{-1}A, B^2, \mu_{xB^{-1}}(B)BC\Big)$ is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
10. *$\Big(C^{-1}A, CB, \mu_{xC^{-1}}(C)C^2\Big)$ is an isotopism of G upon G'.*

Proof
$1 \Leftrightarrow 2$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 3$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 4$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 5$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 6$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 7$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 8$, $1 \Leftrightarrow 9$ and $1 \Leftrightarrow 10$ are achieved by using Theorem 2.4, Lemma 2.1 and Definition 1.2.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $G = (\Omega, \cdot)$ and $G' = (\Omega, \circ)$ be two distinct loops.*

1. *If $A \in S(\Omega)$ such that $A : e \mapsto e$ and $P(x, A) := 0\ \forall\ x \in \Omega$, then the following are equivalent:*
   - **(i)** *(A,B,C) is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
   - **(ii)** *(I, AB, AC) is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
   - **(iii)** *$AL_{xA}AC = BL'_{xA}$.*
   - **(iv)** *$A = CL'_xB^{-1}AL_x$.*
2. *If $B \in S(\Omega)$ such that $B : e \mapsto e$ and $P(x, B) := 0\ \forall\ x \in \Omega$, then the following are equivalent:*
   - **(i)** *(A,B,C) is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
   - **(ii)** *(BA, I, BC) is an isotopism of G upon G'.*
   - **(iii)** *$B = CL'^{-1}_{xBA}L_x$.*
3. *If $C \in S(\Omega)$ such that $C : e \mapsto e$ and $P(x, C) := 0\ \forall\ x \in \Omega$, then the following are equivalent:*
   - **(i)** *(A,B,C) is an isotopism of G upon G'.*

  - **(ii)** (CA, CB, I) *is an isotopism of* G *upon* G'.
  - **(iii)** $L_x = CBL'_{xCA}$.

Proof
The proof lies wholly on Theorem 2.5. And the outcomes are achieved by using Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1 *Let* $G = (\Omega, \cdot)$ *and* $G' = (\Omega, \circ)$ *be two distinct isotopic loops with different identity elements such that the triple* (A, B, C) *is the isotopism between* G *and* G'.

1. *If* $A \in S(\Omega)$ *such that* $A : e \mapsto e$ *and* $P(x, A) := 0 \ \forall \ x \in \Omega$, (A, B, C) *is an isotopism of* G *upon* G' *if and only if* $L'_x = B^{-1}L_{xA}C$. *Hence,*
   - **(i)** $C = BL'_e$, $B = CL'_e$ *and* $L'^2_e = I$.
   - **(ii)** $C^{-1}B = B^{-1}C$ *and* $CB = BC$.
2. *If* $B \in S(\Omega)$ *such that* $B : e \mapsto e$ *and* $P(x, B) := 0 \ \forall \ x \in \Omega$, *then* $C = BL'_{eA}$.
3. *If* $C \in S(\Omega)$ *such that* $C : e \mapsto e$ *and* $P(x, C) := 0 \ \forall \ x \in \Omega$, *then* $C = BL'_{eA}$.

Proof
The proof of this is a consequence of Theorem 2.6 by replacing $x \in \Omega$ with the identity element e of G.

The tables below summarize the important results of this subsection as shown in Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.1.

Hypothesis	Hypothesis	Inference
$P(x, \cdot) := 0$	$\cdot : e \mapsto e$	
A	A	$C = BL'_e, B = CL'_e$ $C^{-1}B = B^{-1}C, CB = BC$
B	B	$C = BL'_e$
C	C	$C = BL'_e$

Hypothesis	Hypothesis	Hypothesis	Inference
$\cdot : e \mapsto e$	$P(x, \cdot) := 0$	Isotopism	Equivalent Isotopism
A	A	(A,B,C)	(I,AB,AC)
B	B	(A,B,C)	(BA,I,BC)
C	C	(A,B,C)	(CA,CB,I)

Theorem 2.7 *Let* $G = (\Omega, \cdot)$ *and* $G' = (\Omega, \circ)$ *be two distinct isotopic loops.*

1. *Under the triple* $\big(R(x, y), L(u, v), T(z)\big)$,
   - **(a)** *if* $P(z, R(x, y)) := 0$ *then,*

    - **(i)** *G is an A_ρ-loop and* $|R(x,y)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\mu(G)=\big\langle L(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$ *and* $Inn_\lambda(G)=\big\langle T(x)L'_e\ :\ x\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** $T(z)L(x,y)=L(x,y)T(z)$ *and* $T(z)^{-1}L(x,y)=L(x,y)^{-1}T(z)$, *hence* $L(x,y)^2=T(z)^2$.
    - **(iv)** *the triple* $\big(I,R(x,y)L(u,v),R(x,y)T(z)\big)$ *is an isotopism from G to G'.*
  - **(b)** *if* $P(z,L(x,y)):=0$ *then,*
    - **(i)** *G is an A_λ-loop and* $|L(x,y)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\mu(G)=\big\langle L(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** *the triple* $\big(L(u,v)R(x,y),I,L(u,v)T(z)\big)$ *is an isotopism from G to G'.*
  - **(c)** *if* $P(z,T(x)):=0$ *then,*
    - **(i)** *G is an A_μ-loop and* $|T(x)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\mu(G)=\big\langle L(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** *the triple* $\big(T(z)R(x,y),T(z)L(u,v),I\big)$ *is an isotopism from G to G'.*

2. *Under the triple* $\big(L(x,y),R(u,v),T(z)\big)$,
  - **(a)** *if* $P(z,L(x,y)):=0$ *then,*
    - **(i)** *G is an A_λ-loop and* $|L(x,y)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\mu(G)=\big\langle R(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$ *and* $Inn_\rho(G)=\big\langle T(x)L'_e\ :\ x\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** $T(z)R(x,y)=R(x,y)T(z)$ *and* $T(z)^{-1}R(x,y)=R(x,y)^{-1}T(z)$, *hence* $R(x,y)^2=T(z)^2$.
    - **(iv)** *the triple* $\big(I,L(x,y)R(u,v),L(x,y)T(z)\big)$ *is an isotopism from G to G'.*
  - **(b)** *if* $P(z,R(x,y)):=0$ *then,*
    - **(i)** *G is an A_ρ-loop and* $|R(x,y)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\mu(G)=\big\langle R(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** *the triple* $\big(R(x,y)L(u,v),I,R(x,y)T(z)\big)$ *is an isotopism from G to G'.*
  - **(c)** *if* $P(z,T(x)):=0$ *then,*
    - **(i)** *G is an A_μ-loop and* $|T(x)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\mu(G)=\big\langle R(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** *the triple* $\big(T(z)L(x,y),T(z)R(u,v),I\big)$ *is an isotopism from G to G'.*

3. *Under the triple* $\big(T(z),R(x,y),L(u,v)\big)$,
  - **(a)** *if* $P(y,T(x)):=0$ *then,*
    - **(i)** *G is an A_μ-loop and* $|L(x,y)|=2$.
    - **(ii)** $Inn_\lambda(G)=\big\langle R(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x,y\in\Omega\big\rangle$ *and* $Inn_\rho(G)=\big\langle L(x,y)L'_e\ :\ x\in\Omega\big\rangle$.
    - **(iii)** $R(x,y)L(u,v)=L(u,v)R(x,y)$ *and* $L(u,v)^{-1}R(x,y)=R(x,y)^{-1}L(u,v)$, *hence* $R(x,y)^2=L(u,v)^2$.

(iv) *the triple* $\big(I, T(z)R(x,y), T(z)L(u,v)\big)$ *is an isotopism from* G *to* G'.

(b) *if* $P(z,R(x,y)) := 0$ *then,*

(i) G *is an* A_ρ*-loop and* $|R(x,y)| = 2$.

(ii) $Inn_\lambda(G) = \big\langle R(x,y)L'_e \ : \ x,y \in \Omega \big\rangle$.

(iii) *the triple* $(R(x,y)T(z), I, R(x,y)L(u,v))$ *is an isotopism from* G *to* G'.

(c) *if* $P(z,R(x,y)) := 0$ *then,*

(i) G *is an* A_λ*-loop and* $|R(x,y)| = 2$.

(ii) $Inn_\lambda(G) = \big\langle R(x,y)L'_e \ : \ x,y \in \Omega \big\rangle$.

(iii) *the triple* $\big(L(x,y)T(z), L(x,y)R(u,v), I\big)$ *is an isotopism from* G *to* G'.

Proof
This is proved using Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 *Let* $G = (\Omega, \cdot)$ *and* $G' = (\Omega, \circ)$ *be two distinct isotopic loops.*

1. *Under the triple* $\big(R(x,y), L(u,v), T(z)\big)$, *if* $P(z,R(x,y)) := 0$, $P(z,L(x,y)) := 0$ *and* $P(z,T(x)) := 0$ *then,*

   (a) G *is an A-loop and* $|R(x,y)| = |L(x,y)| = |T(x)| = 2$.

   (b) $T(z)L(x,y) = L(x,y)T(z)$.

   (c) $Inn_\mu(G) = \big\langle L(x,y)L'_e \ : \ x,y \in \Omega \big\rangle$ *and* $Inn_\lambda(G) = \big\langle T(x)L'_e \ : \ x \in \Omega \big\rangle$.

   (d) *the triples* $\big(I, R(x,y)L(u,v), R(x,y)T(z)\big), \big(L(u,v)R(x,y), I, L(u,v)T(z)\big)$ *and* $\big(T(z)R(x,y), T(z)L(u,v), I\big)$ *are isotopisms from* G *to* G'.

2. *Under the triple* $\big(L(x,y), R(u,v), T(z)\big)$, *if* $P(z,R(x,y)) := 0$, $P(z,L(x,y)) := 0$ *and* $P(z,T(x)) := 0$ *then,*

   (a) G *is an A-loop and* $|R(x,y)| = |L(x,y)| = |T(x)| = 2$.

   (b) $T(z)R(x,y) = R(x,y)T(z)$.

   (c) $Inn_\mu(G) = \big\langle R(x,y)L'_e \ : \ x,y \in \Omega \big\rangle$ *and* $Inn_\rho(G) = \big\langle T(x)L'_e \ : \ x \in \Omega \big\rangle$.

   (d) *the triples* $\big(I, L(x,y)R(u,v), L(x,y)T(z)\big)$, $\big(R(x,y)L(u,v), I, R(x,y)T(z)\big)$ *and* $\big(T(z)L(x,y), T(z)R(u,v), I\big)$ *are isotopisms from* G *to* G'.

3. *Under the triple* $\big(T(z), R(x,y), L(u,v)\big)$, *if* $P(z,R(x,y)) := 0$, $P(z,L(x,y)) := 0$ *and* $P(z,T(x)) := 0$ *then,*

   (a) G *is an A-loop and* $|R(x,y)| = |L(x,y)| = |T(x)| = 2$.

   (b) $R(x,y)L(u,v) = L(u,v)R(x,y)$.

   (c) $Inn_\lambda(G) = \big\langle R(x,y)L'_e \ : \ x,y \in \Omega \big\rangle$ *and* $Inn_\rho(G) = \big\langle L(x,y)L'_e \ : \ x \in \Omega \big\rangle$.

   (d) *the triples* $\big(I, T(z)R(x,y), T(z)L(u,v)\big)$, $(R(x,y)T(z), I, R(x,y)L(u,v))$ *and* $\big(L(x,y)T(z), L(x,y)R(u,v), I\big)$ *are isotopisms from* G *to* G'.

Proof
This follows directly from Theorem 2.7.

References

[1] R. H. Bruck, *A survey of binary systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1966.

[2] R. H. Bruck and L. J. Paige, *Loops whose inner mappings are automorphisms*. The annuals of Mathematics, **63**(1956), 2, 308–323.

[3] O. Chein, H. O. Pflugfelder and J. D. H. Smith, *Quasigroups and loops : Theory and applications*. Heldermann Verlag, 1990.

[4] P. Csörgő, *Extending the structural homomorphism of LCC loops*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **46**(2005), 3, 385–389.

[5] P. Csörgő and A. Drápal, *Left conjugacy closed loops of nilpotency class 2*. Results Math. **47**(2005), 3-4, 242–265.

[6] P. Csörgő and T. Kepka , *On loops whose inner permutations commute*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **45**(2004), 2, 213–221.

[7] P. Csörgő and M. Niemenmaa, *Solvability conditions for loops and groups*. J. Alg. **232**(2000), 1, 336–342.

[8] J. Dene and A. D. Keedwell, *Latin squares and their applications*. The English University press Lts, 1974.

[9] A. Drápal, *A-loops close to code loops are groups*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **41**(2000), 2, 245–249.

[10] A. Drápal, *Conjugacy closed loops and their multiplication groups*. J. Alg. **272**(2004), 1, 838–850.

[11] A. Drápal, *Structural interactions of conjugacy closed loops*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. To appear.

[12] A. Drápal, *On multiplicatipon groups of left conjugacy closed loops*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **45**(2004), 223–236.

[13] A. Drápal, *On extraspecial left conjugacy closed loops*. J. Alg. **302**(2006), 2, 771–792.

[14] A. Drápal, *On left conjugacy closed loops with a nucleus of index two*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg. **74**(2004), 205–221.

[15] A. Drápal, *Multiplication groups of finite free loops that fix at most two points*. J. Alg. **235**(2001), 1, 154–175.

[16] A. Drápal, *Multiplication groups of free loops I*. Czechoslovak. Math. J. **46**(1996), 121–131.

[17] A. Drápal, *Multiplication groups of free loops II.* Czechoslovak. Math. J. **46** (1996), 201–220.

[18] A. Drápal, *Orbits of inner mapping groups.* Monatsh. Math. **134**(2002), 191–206.

[19] A. Drápal and P. Csörgő , *On left conjugacy closed loops in which the left multiplication group is normal.* Manuscript.

[20] A. Drápal and T. Kepka, *Multiplication groups of quasigroups and loops I.* Acta Univ. Carol. Math. Phys. **34**(1993), 1, 85–99.

[21] A. Drápal, T. Kepka and O. Maršálek, *Multiplication groups of quasigroups and loops II.* Acta Univ. Carol. Math. Phys. **35**(1994), 1, 9–29.

[22] E. G. Goodaire and D. A. Robinson, *A class of loops which are isomorphic to all loop isotopes.* Can. J. Math. **34**(1982), 662–672.

[23] E. G. Goodaire and D. A. Robinson, *Some special conjugacy closed loops.* Canad. Math. Bull. **33**(1990), 73–78.

[24] E. G. Goodaire, E. Jespers and C. P. Milies, *Alternative loop rings.* NHMS(184), Elsevier, 1996.

[25] T. Kepka, *Multiplication groups of some quasigroups.* Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **29**(1977), 459–465.

[26] T. Kepka, *On the abelian inner permutation groups of loops.* Comm. Alg. **26**(1998), 857–861.

[27] T. Kepka and M. Niemenmaa, *On loops with cyclic inner mapping groups.* Arch. Math. **60**(1993), 233–236.

[28] M. K. Kinyon, K. Kunen, *The structure of extra loops.* Quasigroups and Related Systems **12**(2004), 39–60.

[29] M. K. Kinyon, K. Kunen, J. D. Phillips, *Diassociativity in conjugacy closed loops.* Comm. Alg. **32**(2004), 767–786.

[30] M. K. Kinyon, K. Kunen, *Power-associative conjugacy closed loops.* J. Alg. **304**(2006), 2, 679–711.

[31] M. K. Kinyon, K. Kunen, J. D. Phillips, *Every diassociative A-Loop is Moufang.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130**(2001), 3, 619–624.

[32] K. Kunen, *The structure of conjugacy closed loops.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352**(2000), 2889–2911.

[33] M. Niemenmaa, *On the structure of the inner mapping groups of loops.* Comm. Alg. **24**(1996), 135–142.

[34] M. Niemenmaa, *On finite loops whose inner mapping groups are abelian*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **65**(2002), 477–484.

[35] M. Niemenmaa, *On loops which have dihedral* 2*-groups as inner mappings groups*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **52**(1995), 153–169.

[36] M. Niemenmaa and T. Kepka, *On multiplication groups of loops*. J. Alg. **135**(1990), 112–122.

[37] J. M. Osborn, *A theorem on A-loops*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**(1959), 347–349.

[38] H. O. Pflugfelder, *Quasigroups and loops : Introduction*. Sigma series in Pure Math. 7, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[39] J. D. Phillips, *On Moufang A-loops*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **41**(2000), 2, 371–375.

[40] J. D. Phillips, *A short basis for the variety of WIP PACC-loops*. Quasigroups and Related Systems **14**(2006), 1, 73–80.

[41] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy, *Smarandache loops*. Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India, 2002.

[42] A. Vesanen, *Solvable groups and loops*, J. Alg. **180**(1996), 862–876.